



1 Mr. Raiche stated that the exploration charges would weigh approximately 5 ½ lbs and  
2 there would be very little disturbance to the surrounding areas. He stated that the request  
3 stated that no testing would be performed within 200 ft. of any structures. The insurance  
4 was discussed and the Committee asked that staff contact the State Office of Risk  
5 Management (ORM) to insure that the insurance needs are equal to those mandated by  
6 the State. After the discussion, **Mr. Williams moved, seconded by Mr. Arbuckle to**  
7 **recommend to the Board to approve the request by Cajun Exploration except to**  
8 **change the 200 ft from any structures to 300 ft within any structures or abandoned**  
9 **wells. Motion carried.**

10 Item #1A: Review Billy Sanders Leaseback Violation: Mr. Chance stated that  
11 this violation had been presented to the committee before and it was decided to send the  
12 gentleman a certified letter stating the violation and that it needed to be corrected. He  
13 continued that Mr. Sanders' initial camp had burned and was replaced with a doublewide  
14 mobile home. He stated that instead of putting the "new" home in the original position,  
15 they placed it within 30 feet of the home in the leaseback area of the property. He stated  
16 that to correct this error, the home would have to be moved back. **Mr. Goodeaux**  
17 **moved, seconded by Mr. Arbuckle to table this issue until staff prepares a draft of**  
18 **the Policy and Procedures for SRA. Motion carried.**

19 Item #2- Review Guidelines for CCA or ACQ treated lumber: Mr. Pratt stated  
20 that staff was suggesting that SRA adopt the EPA Guidelines for CCA or ACQ treated  
21 lumber to be used for building boathouses or piers on the reservoir not for retaining walls.  
22 He stated that a copy of the guidelines had been supplied within the meeting packet. **Mr.**  
23 **Nash moved, seconded by Mr. Vidrine to recommend to the Board to adopt the EPA**  
24 **Guidelines for CCA or ACQ treated lumber usage for construction within the**  
25 **Project Boundary and incorporate this into the permit application. Motion carried.**

26 New Business: Item #1-Review Discussion with District Attorney about  
27 Leaseback Questions/Concerns and Possible Ordinance on Selling Lake Property: Mr.  
28 Chance stated that a meeting was held with Mr. Burkett, Sabine Parish District Attorney,  
29 and some of his staff concerning issues that SRA currently has with property owners on  
30 the reservoir. He stated that SRA was not in the "loop" when property owners sell their  
31 property. He stated that one of the most common errors made during the sale, is that the

1 leaseback does not always get transferred to the new owner. He stated that the District  
2 Attorney's office will research the 911 Ordinance concerning the possibility of SRA  
3 being included in the permitting process before the sell of the property in the parish. He  
4 stated that the Department of Health and Hospitals was also involved because they are the  
5 ones that issue the permits for sewer. An idea discussed was that these agencies would  
6 fax a list to SRA showing any requested address change or sewer inspection before a  
7 property sells and SRA could notified before a sale.

8 Item #2-Review State Historical Preservation Permit Requirements: Mr. Chance  
9 stated that currently SRA did not incorporate this item into our permitting process. He  
10 stated that staff had contacted Duke Rivet to provide information concerning this issue  
11 with our permitting process and any suggestions for compliance. Mr. Pratt stated that he  
12 had met Mr. Rivet and explained to him that SRA did not have the manpower to "police"  
13 the folks walking along the shoreline to prevent them from collecting artifacts of any  
14 type.

15 Item #3-Discuss what the Board requires for Permits: Mr. Chance stated that staff  
16 would like some direction on what the Committee/Board feels should be required for the  
17 permitting process. During the discussion, it was suggested that staff prepare a checklist  
18 that could be used for each individual type permit requests and incorporate this into the  
19 Policy and Procedures. Mr. Conyer suggested at this time that the committee possibly  
20 meet once a month before the Board meeting to discuss any issues at hand so that the  
21 requests can be recommended to the Board for action. **Mr. Arbuckle moved, seconded**  
22 **by Mr. Vidrine that the Leaseback & Cutting/Marking Committee will meet the**  
23 **second Thursday of each month at 1:00pm unless otherwise stated per meeting**  
24 **notice. Motion carried.**

25 Item #4-Contractors must have State License & Bonded: Mr. Chance stated that  
26 staff needed direction whether or not to require that a contractor doing work along the  
27 shoreline must hold a State License and required bonding as stated per State Statutes.  
28 **Mr. Arbuckle moved, seconded by Mr. Vidrine to ask staff to do research**  
29 **concerning this issue and to present it at the April meeting. Motion carried.**

30 Mr. Conyer stated that he had some concerns about the thieves along the reservoir  
31 and asked if some of the Committee members needed to visit with the Sheriff's

1 Department to discuss these concerns. Mr. Carr stated that he would be glad to discuss  
2 the matter with the Sheriff's Department.

3 Mr. Conyer stated that he wanted the Committee to be thinking about two items  
4 that he feels needs to be addressed in the future by the Committee. Item #1-non-  
5 permitted structures in disrepair and Item #2- Permit Fees.

6 With no further business to discuss, **Mr. Arbuckle moved, seconded by Mr.**  
7 **Vidrine to adjourn. Motion carried. Meeting adjourned at 3:55pm.**

8

9

10

11

12

13

---

**ROBERT CONYER, CHAIRMAN**