

1 explain to the committee the primary cause for delay to these property owners to
2 complete their process required by SRA. Mr. Pratt explained that these were some
3 property owners that still had not completed their projects that fell under the shoreline
4 enhancement policy which had a deadline date of October 1, 2007 for all projects to be
5 completed. He continued that the main reason for delay was due to the shortage of
6 surveyors in the area. He stated that staff was requesting that the committee extend the
7 deadline for these property owners and that staff would monitor their progress. Mr. Pratt
8 asked Mr. Sepulvado who was in the audience approximately how long would it take him
9 to complete his project. Mr. Sepulvado stated probably until August. After some
10 discussion, **Mr. Arbuckle moved, seconded by Mr. Steed to grant an extension to the**
11 **property owners who have made proper application under the Shoreline**
12 **Enhancement Policy until August 1, 2008 to complete their projects and no**
13 **extension after that date. Motion carried.**

14 Item #2) Billy Sanders Violation: Mr. Chance stated that this property owner's
15 double wide mobile home was positioned over the line and was partially on the leaseback
16 which is property that belongs to the State. He continued that the policy states that no
17 habitable structure is permitted on the leaseback. Mr. Pratt stated that he had received a
18 letter from Mr. Sanders stating that he was in the position to retain an attorney to take
19 legal action against the SRA. He stated that perhaps it would be best for this property
20 owner to proceed with his legal action and SRA would have a "test" case. He stated that
21 staff was requesting authority to begin proceedings to have the structure removed from
22 SRA property including permission to cancel Mr. Sander's leaseback and get a court
23 order directing Mr. Sanders to remove his property. After the discussion, **Mr. Nash**
24 **moved, seconded by Mr. Arbuckle to approve staff beginning the Violation Process**
25 **by sending a registered 60-day notice to the property owner to remove his mobile**
26 **home from the leaseback area of the property. Motion carried.**

27 New Business: Item #1) Wildwood Proposal-Salter Erosion Problem: Mr. Pratt
28 stated that Mr. Ziegler, owner of Wildwood Resort sent a proposal to fill in the area and
29 reclaim the property for commercial use. He stated that there was a problem with this
30 because the area is below the takeline and there again, no habitable structures are

1 permitted in this area. He stated that there was not room for the fifty foot requirement
2 from the takeline; therefore, there was no need for action.

3 Item #2) Wildwood Island Lease Proposal: Mr. Pratt stated that he had received a
4 written proposal from Mr. Ziegler at Wildwood Resort to lease an island for hunting
5 purposes for the guests of his resort. Mr. Pratt stated that SRA was not interested in
6 leasing any of the islands at this time for such an endeavor besides, islands like the one
7 Mr. Ziegler was interested in is SRA property. He continued that these areas are going to
8 be very beneficial as environmental zones as the re-licensing process continues and the
9 Shoreline Management plan is developed and implement. No action required by the
10 committee.

11 With no further business, **Mr. Valentine moved, seconded by Mr. Arbuckle to**
12 **adjourn. Motion carried. Meeting adjourned at 2:37pm.**

13
14
15
16

STEPHEN RACCA, CHAIRMAN